

Education

An open access journal



JHSE-1-214

Poetic Report

Poetic Reflection on the Crisis of Representation in a Social Work Research

Taiwo A*

Assistant Professor, School of Social Work, King's University College at Western University, Canada

Abstract

The notion of decolonising social work epistemologies may have stemmed from the protests of indigenous scholars and their allies in Canada, yet it goes beyond them to include other knowledges that have been excluded, minimized, or marginalized by mainstream scholars, agencies, and practitioners. Incubated in the habitus of Whiteness, the social work profession has given prominence to, and normalized viewpoints that are White, middle-class, and heterosexual, amongst others, though slowly responding to marginalized knowledges. Using the social constructionist framework, this reflective poem examines the representation of racialized voices in a qualitative research on privilege and interrogates accepted knowledge regarding student-scholar power relationship, agent-target status differentiation, and female-male social worker interactions, all within the context of education and practice. The tension was that the researcher found himself censoring some of his research findings as he challenged the uncodified restraints about what is acceptable as research outcomes. This is research poetry as a means of disseminating findings. It also poses many questions for practitioners to consider as they reflect on their own work.

Keywords: Decolonization; Social construction; Privilege; Social work poetics; Social work education

Poetic Report

In this moment I have a different tact Of relaying my research findings Or my research perplexity depending on where you sit I want you to make your note And enlighten me, Maybe in your light I can find mine Challenge me, if you will Maybe in your provocation I can find meaning In this co-constructed reality [1] *Of multiple subjects and subjectivities* [2,3] In search of knowledge that's Becoming elusive Like the waters of Heraclitus In which you can't step twice *In the same place [4]* Fresh water, they say, always overflow

Is my education colonization or socialization? Am I learning skills or culture? Or am I freeing my mind to explore as a researcher should, turning every stone

Can I still roam the wild when constrained by a theoretical framework?

What is sloppy or rigorous? What is scholarly or profane? What language is pleasing to the gatekeepers? What's the password for new knowledge?

Who do I need to exclude or preclude; to minimize or marginalize to please my Masters (who are Legion)? So, I ask again: Is my education colonization or socialization?

Social work, you see, as many other professions, is incubated in the habitus of Whiteness That's what Bourdieu [5] implied. And he should know. So how do I decolonize epistemologies without protest? Yet in protest you may bite the hands that feed you. But I don't even have to do that For my education is already complete I may have embraced a cogent deception That has become my own self-deception [6]. I may have embraced Foucault's governmentality [7] And Jeremy Bentham's philosophy [8] to become my own panopticon.

All because I have been well-trained I have the voice in my head Indicating what may not be acceptable Because it had not been so accepted in the past Pavlov's dog is salivating in self-reflection [9,10] Why do I have to heed the bell? Perhaps it is because the circus elephant knows the dance after the discipline of stakes In a way, I've become the gatekeeper [11]. But I need to get free: Decolonization. Dread.

Who does research benefit?
(a). The Research Chair?
(b). The Research Committee?
(c). The Copy Editor?
(d). The Funder?
(e). The Public?
(f). All the above?
(g). None of the above?
(h). Only A and B?
What if they don't like what you found?
Or it doesn't resonate with their experiences?

Copy Editor (Female, 38) accused me of bias in my research presentation Should I delete that part and let sleeping dogs lie? So that I can pass and be published. After all, he who fights and runs away, They say, lives to fight another day.

Should I still write about Kwame's sexual harassment in the workplace? When my original question was about male privilege? (Kwame, Male, 27) Copy Editor said that was neither authentic nor scholarly Though that was an emerging finding On my way to the research findings [2] So, should I turn that into a reflective piece and pretend it was my own thought after hearing a participant's report? Or is it still data, though Copy Editor didn't like it? My study findings revealed That clients discriminated against social workers In terms of their gender and race Racialized social workers, particularly Microaggressions, according to Sue [12] But Copy Editor (White, Female, 38) said that was my own bias, A dog whistle to my race Me: Black. Male. 50; a visible minority in a White-normed world [13]. She could easily see where I stood My writing belying my beliefs, And that was not scholarly So, I needed to revise and re-write Though all I was asking the participant was about his male privilege in female-dominated social work practice But his response didn't fit for Copy Editor And it became my own problem of bias, Not her own implicit bias Or unfounded accusation Or uninformed conclusion Or assumption about the way things should be. Or racism

Quist (Black, Male, 48) also claimed that some of his White clients

tarnished his reputation, made false accusations Copy Editor said I, the researcher, was heavy handed I should prove or delete it What proof do I have beyond participants' narratives? [14] What proof should I have beyond any participant's narrative? Objective to them, subjective to us. What do I affirm, or deny, or report? This crisis of representation is caused by whom?

Lived experiences become retold lives [15] Oral histories become orthographic transcripts [16] These are hard enough without the gatekeeper's insults I'm presenting the subjective viewpoints of participants Why can't they just be that? Why can't they just BE?

Who determines the validity of knowledge claims? Whose voice is privileged in qualitative research? What's acceptable as research outcome?

Another participant was Trevor his research name, like others In the guise of confidentiality and anonymity [2]. Scholars say we account for negative cases But how many gatekeepers can bear that When these voices are outliers bordering on the improbable based on certain lived experiences?

Trevor, you see, is male, heterosexual, Latino Trevor, you see, has a voice A voice very shaky in telling his story About how an 'agent' became a 'target' with a target on his back as he walked on egg-shells at work; how his privilege diminished in social work practice As compared to the larger society

Trevor, you see, has epistemic privilege Privilege as a professional [17] Good attitude, good disposition, friendly: all positive. But as a man, he was an 'agent' As a Latino man, he was a 'target' In this Chronos: this micro-macro, exo-meso system [18] where we accumulate experiences Here, the agent-target dichotomy fused in him And the agent became a target Oppressed by women colleagues at work Poking him, you see; touching him, calling him 'sexy' The so-called hunter became hunted Trevor the heterosexual and metrosexual now objectified and petrified Was he over-sensitive or did he internalize his oppression? [19] How do I represent his views Without throwing his supervisors and agency under the bus Just in case someone there read my dissertation And anonymity is no longer anonymous?

Will that be political on my part? Or naïve or prudent or pragmatic? I may need to censor the part on male privilege too In a female dominated agency When Trevor suggested that women should not succumb to their emotions whenever clients exhibit patriarchal values but that they should have a bigger meaning in their work, confront gender stereotypes, and be reflective. That sounds chauvinistic to me. Not worth reporting? Not rigorous?

Male privilege is complicated in social work practice But when we put a guard on all our mouths Who shall speak for whom? [20]

And how can knowledge extend Beyond what colonizers already knew? Tell me, how can knowledge extend Beyond our points of comfort?

I don't want to displace established knowledge. Not necessarily.

I don't want to replace evidence-based information. Not at all.

I only want a thousand flowers to bloom Multiple voices. Multiple experiences. Multiple stories of multiple lives. Multiple subjectivities informing knowledge and practice.

Is that too much to ask for?

Acknowledgements

The original research was supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, Canada. (SSHRC# 618555)

References

1. Berger PL, Luckman T (1966) The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge. Doubleday, Garden City, NY.

2. Denzin, NK, Lincoln YS (Eds) (2005) The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (3rd edn), SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA.

3. Gergen M, Gergen KJ (2003) Social construction: A reader. SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA.

4. Hussey E (1982) Epistemology and meaning in Heraclitus. In M. Schofield & M. C. Nussbaum (Eds.), Language and logos. Cambridge University Press, pp: 33-59.
5. Bourdieu P (1989) Social space and symbolic power.

Sociological Theory 7(1): 14-25.6. Sider G (1987) When parrots learn to talk, and why they

can't: Domination, deception and self-deception in Indian-White relations. Comparative Studies in Society and History 29(1): 3-23.

7. Foucault M (1991) Governmentality. In G. Burchell, C. Gordon, and P. Miller (eds.) The Foucault Effect: Studies in

Governmentality with Two Lectures and Interview with Michel Foucault. Harvester Wheatsheaf, Toronto, pp. 87-104.8. Chambon A, Irving A, Epstein L (1999) Reading Foucault for social work. Columbia University Press, New York.

9. Gordon LR (2004) Critical reflections on three popular tropes in the study of Whiteness. In G. Yancy (Ed.), What White looks like: African-American philosophers on the Whiteness question. Routledge, London, England, pp: 173-280.

10. Pavlov IP (1928) Lectures on conditioned reflexes. (Translated by W.H. Gantt) Allen and Unwin, London.

11. Badwall H (2014) Colonial encounters: Racialized social workers negotiating professional scripts of Whiteness. Intersectionalities: A Global Journal of Social Work Analysis, Research, Policy, and Practice 3(1): 1-23.

12. Sue DW (2010) Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.

13. Feagin JR (2014) Racist America: Roots, current realities and future reparations (3rd edn), Routledge, New York.

14. Creswell JW (1998) Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five traditions. Thousand Oaks, SAGE, CA.

15. Van Manen M (1997) Researching lived experience. Althouse Press, London, Canada.

16. Braun V, Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology 3(2): 77-101.

17. Taiwo A (2018) Privilege as a Moving Target: Reimagining Privilege for Social Work Practice. Critical Social Work 19(2): 39-58.

18. Bronfenbrenner U (1979) The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

19. Mullaly B (2010) Challenging oppression and confronting privilege. (2nd edn), Oxford University Press, Toronto, Canada.

20. Sandelowski MJ (2006) "Meta-Jeopardy": The crisis of representation in qualitative metasynthesis. Nurs Outlook 54(1): 10-16.

*Corresponding author: Akin Taiwo, MSW, RSW, PhD., Assistant Professor, School of Social Work, King's University College at Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; Tel: 519-433-34391, e-mail: <u>ataiwo3@uwo.ca</u>

Received date: May 12, 2020; **Accepted date:** June 10, 2021; **Published date:** August 31, 2021

Citation: Taiwo A (2021) Poetic Reflection on the Crisis of Representation in a Social Work Research. *J Health Sci Educ* 5(3): 214.

Copyright: Taiwo A (2021) Poetic Reflection on the Crisis of Representation in a Social Work Research. J Health Sci Educ 5(3): 214.